| 1  |   |   |
|----|---|---|
| 2  |   |   |
| 3  |   |   |
| 4  |   |   |
| 5  |   |   |
| 6  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |   |
| 7  | DISTRICT OF NEVADA  |   |
| 8  | * * *   |   |
| 9  | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,   | Case No. 2:12-cr-00082-MMD-VCF                                    |
| 10 | Plaintiff,  | ORDER   |
| 11 | V.  | Def's Mtn. for Due Process in Closing<br>Argument – dkt. no. 289) |
| 12 | VANESSA RUIZ,   | Argument – akt. 110. 209)   |
| 13 | Defendants.   |   |
| 14 |   |   |
| 15 | Before the Court is Defendant's Motion for Due Process in Closing Argumen               |   |
| 16 | (dkt. no. 289). Defendant brought the motion to inform the Court of her "position" that |   |
| 17 | she opposes any "reserved" argument by the government. Defendant appears to seek        |   |
| 18 | a preliminary blanket ruling from the Court directing the government not to reserve any |   |
| 19 | arguments for rebuttal as part of its closing argument. Defendant's Motion is premature |   |
| 20 | and is therefore DENIED.  |   |
| 21 |   |   |
| 22 | DATED THIS 18 <sup>th</sup> day of March 2013   | . (1)   |
| 23 |   | 1 Cl  |
| 24 |   | MIRANDA M. DU   |
| 25 |   | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE                                      |
| 26 |   |   |
| 27 |   |   |